

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,675	02/01/2006	Thierry Dumery	4005-0274PUSI	9208
. 2292	7590 12/04/2006		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH &	MARINI, MATTHEW G		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	·	2854	
		•	DATE MALI ED. 12/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Communication	10/566,675 <sup>-</sup>	DUMERY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew G. Marini	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Fe	bruary 2006.					
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 February 2006</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Trib oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	iniliter. Note the attached Office	Action of 1011117 10-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/1/06</u> . 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/566,675

Art Unit: 2854

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mowry, Jr et al. (6,167,147) in view of Veldeman et al. (EP1179808).

As for claims 10, 12-16, Mowry, Jr et al. teaches in Col. 11, lines 25-50, a method of making a pattern, the method comprising the steps of: subdividing the pattern, 16, into an array, Col. 11 lines 27-30, comprising a plurality of non-overlapping cells, 30, as seen in Fig. 5; and printing the dots, 36, Col. 7 lines10-15, as determined in this way in the corresponding cells, of the array, Col. 11 lines 50-53 and fro each cell, determining a dot having dimensions no greater than that of the dimensions the cell, Col. 7 lines 59-61. Mowry, Jr et al. fails to teach the pattern being printed with at least two luminescent materials that are visible under ordinary light and with emit radiation of different colors when they are excited for each dot printed in their corresponding cells wherein at least some of the dots emit colors that combined so as to form at least a third color, and having color that is appropriate for the radiation from adjacent dots in combination to reconstitute a corresponding zone of the pattern. Mowry, Jr et al. also fails to teach the luminescent dots being decoded by means of a filter.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,675 Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

Veldeman et al. teaches a document anti- fraud printed surface that contains two inks that when the images are illuminated in normal light ambient the ink display the same color and with a second light wavelength they both emit different color light to each other including an unspecified color being the third paragraph 49 lines 7-14, and as described in the translated abstract of Veldeman et al. (EP1179808), where a system is capable of decoding the dots as described in paragraph 41 of Veldeman et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Mowry, Jr. et al. to include in the printing step of Mowry, Jr et al. the two luminescent materials that emit radiation of different colors, including an unspecified color being the third paragraph 49 lines 7-14, when they are excited of Veldeman et al. for each dot printed in their corresponding cells, as described in Mowry, Jr et al., and having color that is appropriate for the radiation from adjacent dots in combination to reconstitute a corresponding zone of the pattern, as described in paragraph 49, lines 7-14 of the machine translation of Veldeman et al. because combining various inks makes it more difficult to reproduce an image, making it more secure, paragraph 21 of the machine translation of Veldeman et al.

As for claim 11, Mowry, Jr et al. remains silent on the cells of the arrays being mutually complementary shapes. However, Veldeman et al. teaches in paragraph 20 of the machine translation of Veldeman et al. the images being concentric band, which inherently are complementary shapes, one fitting inside another. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to print complementary images as described in

Application/Control Number: 10/566,675

Art Unit: 2854

Veldeman et al. because in paragraph 20 of the machine translation of Veldeman et al. the network of complementary shapes makes it more difficult to falsify.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mowry, Jr et al. (6,167,147) in view of Veldeman et al. (EP1179808) as applied to claim 10-16 above, and further in view of Rhods (6,427,020).

As for claims 17 and 18 Mowry, Jr et al. and Veldeman et al. teach all that is claimed in the above rejection of claims 10 and 12 except where the luminescent dots disposed as to form a digital watermark suitable for analysis by a digital camera associated with image processing software. Rhods teaches in Col. 20 lines 1-15 a security document apparatus with a visible light optical sensor, similar to a camera, connected to a processor that analyzes a digital watermark imbedded on a document, directly in the pixel domain, Col. 2 liens 32-37. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to further modify Mowry, Jr et al. to include the imbedded digital watermark and camera sensing ability of Rhods because it allows a detecting system to sense the digital watermark and intervene so as to prevent or deter counterfeiting, Col. 2 lines 63-65.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew G. Marini whose telephone number is (571)-272-2676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 to 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,675

Art Unit: 2854

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571)-272-2258. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew Marini

11/22/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER